

255
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-28550-2018(O&M)

Date of decision : 12.11.2018

Shyam Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Reply by way of affidavit of Superintendent of Prison, District Prison, Karnal, dated 11.11.2018 filed in Court today is taken on record.

It comes out that petitioner was granted parole by this Court vide order dated 25.9.2018 passed in *CWP-21096-2018* titled as *Shyam Singh versus State of Haryana and others* for a period of three weeks which was extended till today.

Petitioner seeks extension of parole on the ground that petitioner is suffering from disk problem for which he needs rest and treatment.

Needless to say that State in the reply has opposed the extension of parole.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was admitted in a private hospital because he was suffering from disk problem and needs rest & treatment.

I am of the view that same facility is easily available in jail and petitioner can have complete rest in jail; can get treatment from jail hospital and participate in the physical activities like yoga for treatment of disk problem.

No ground is made out to extend the parole.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.11.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No