

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-28543-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.11.2018

Suman Shareshwari

--Petitioner

Versus

C.B.S.E & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mohd. Jameel Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

As per pleadings on record petitioner, who was a student of Aggarwal Public School, Ballabhgarh, Faridabad qualified his Matriculation Examination in the year 2015 conducted by C.B.S.E. He, thereafter, has even qualified the 10+2 Examination in the year 2017.

Counsel submits that in the Matriculation Certificate as also in the 10+2 Certificate surname of the petitioner is not reflected. Full name of the petitioner is Suman Shareshwari, whereas in the certificates only Suman has been recorded. Further submits that similar discrepancy has crept in with regard to names of parents of petitioner.

Instant petition has been filed for issuance of directions to the respondents i.e. the Aggarwal Public School, Ballabhgarh as also Central Board of School Education, New Delhi to make necessary corrections in the Matriculation as also 10+2 Certificates and to incorporate the surname of Shareshwari against the name of the petitioner as also his parents.

It is sought to be contended that at the stage of taking admission in the school the Birth Certificate duly issued by the Department of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of West Bengal had been furnished and which had reflected the full name of the petitioner i.e. Suman

Shareshwari. It is urged that the discrepancy in the Matriculation Certificate as also 10+2 Certificate in so far as surname Shareshwari having not been incorporated is not on account of any fault attributable to the petitioner.

Counsel further submits that even a representation with regard to the prayer raised in the present petition has already been filed to the Aggarwal Public School, Ballabgarh as also the C.B.S.E and he would be satisfied, if, directions were to be issued to the respondent authorities to consider the same and take necessary action thereupon within a stipulated time frame.

This Court finds the prayer made by counsel to be just and reasonable.

As such, without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition and without going into the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to examine the representation dated 17.8.2018 (Annexure P-8) that is stated to have already been submitted and to thereafter take action thereupon as deemed fit and warranted in accordance with law.

Let a final decision on the representation at Annexure P-8 be taken within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and the same be conveyed to the petitioner.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

12.11.2018

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No