

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANIDGARH**

106

**CWP No.28503 of 2018
Date of Decision: 05.11.2018**

Randhir

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Jagmohan S. Ghumman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent No.3.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is with respect to violation of Section 9 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines Act, 1962.

On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the caveator-respondent No.3 has submitted that transmission tower is more than 100 metres from the place where underground pipeline has been laid down.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the caveator-respondent No.3, the entire grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and consequently the writ petition is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

05.11.2018
sheetal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No