

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No. 3137 of 2017

Nidhi **....Petitioner**
versus

State of Haryana and others **..Respondents**

2. CWP No. 3149 of 2017

Bhawna Kadian **....Petitioner**
versus

State of Haryana and others **..Respondents**

3. CWP No. 3355 of 2017

Deepak **...Petitioner**
versus

State of Haryana and others **...Respondents**

4. CWP No. 4602 of 2017

Smriti **...Petitioners**
versus

State of Haryana and others **...Respondents**

5. CWP No. 8828 of 2017

Monica Tanwar and anr. **...Petitioners**
versus

State of Haryana and others **...Respondents**

6. CWP No. 8459 of 2017

Munni Devi **...Petitioners**
versus

State of Haryana and others **...Respondents**

7. CWP No. 9005 of 2017

Manju Bala

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Date of Decision:12.09.2018

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate
for the applicant in
**C.M. No. 12954 of 2018 in CWP No. 3149-2017,
C.M. No. 12939-2018 CWP No. 9005-2017, and
C.M. No. 13074-2018 IN CWP No. 3355-2017**

Mr. M.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP NO. 3355-2017

Mr. A.K. Sehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP No. 4602, 8828, 8459, 9005 of 2017

Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No. 3137 and 3149 of 2017

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for M.D. University

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

**C.M. No. 12954-2018 in CWP No. 3149-2017,
C.M. No. 12939-2018 CWP No. 9005-2017, and
C.M. No. 13074-2018 IN CWP No. 3355-2017**

The above mentioned applications are for impleading
applicants/respondent Nos. 4-Dinesh Kumar and respondent 5-Meenakshi
Poonia **(C.M. No. 12954-2018)** in the above mentioned petition,
applicant/respondent Nos. 4-Parmohan, respondent No. 5-Rajeev Kumar and
respondent No. 6-Neeraj Kumari **(C.M. No. 12939-2018)** and

applicant/respondent Nos. 5-Dinesh Kumar and respondent 6-Meenakshi

Poonia (C.M. No. 13074-2018).

The applications are allowed and the applicants mentioned above are impleaded as party to the petitions i.e CWP No. 3149, 9005 and 3155 of 2017. Amended memo of party is taken on record.

CWP No. 3137-2017 and connected matters.

Petitioners are seeking direction to respondent No. 3 to consider the petitioner eligible for the post, as the result of the petitioner was confidentially conveyed by the University before the last date of submission of the application i.e 21.09.2015.

The question for consideration in the writ petitions are whether the confidential result communicated by the University to the third respondent-Haryana Staff Selection Commission could be treated as petitioner is qualified as on the last date or not.

This Court granted interim relief to the petitioners and respondents were directed to interview the petitioners provisionally.

This issue has already been decided by this Court in ***CWP No. 27021-2016 titled as Priyanka and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 23.10.2017*** and LPA against the judgment dated 23.10.2017 has also been dismissed by this Court, vide ***LPA No. 362-2018 titled as Haryana Staff Selection Commission vs. Priyanka and others.***

This Court has held that the Haryana Staff Selection Commission has to take the candidate eligible whose confidential result has been communicated by the University to them before the cut off date i.e 21.09.2015.

In view of the above position, the above petitions are disposed

of and respondents are directed to consider the petitioners eligible, as per

their confidential result and allow them to participate in the selection process and call them for interview by treating them eligible, in view of the above mentioned judgments.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

12.09.2018
G Arora

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>