

111
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/11/2018 10:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP-28485-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 12.11.2018

Ravi Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner has challenged order dated 28.9.2018 (Annexure-P-1), passed by respondent No. 2, vide which his prayer for parole to enable him to repair his house, was declined.

Impugned order shows that opposite party has apprehension of life and property, if petitioner is released on parole. Petitioner has already two house. One is old house and lying vacant and other is new house, which does not require any repair. Therefore, it appears that ground of house repair has been made up to obtain parole. No ground is made out to interfere in impugned order. Petition is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.11.2018
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No