

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.28484 of 2018
Date of Decision:12.11.2018**

Daya Chand (since deceased) through his LR ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Virendra Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Admittedly, the benefits of enhanced compensation have been sought by way of filing the present writ petition, without filing any petition under Sections 18 & 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. A perusal of the record would also go on to show that it is apparent that the benefit of enhanced compensation has been granted in execution proceedings, on the strength of the judgments and on the basis of the benefits having been granted to the co-sharers by the Reference Court. Further enhancement has, now, been sought on the basis of the further enhancement granted by this Court, in appeal.

If that is so, the petitioner has an alternative and efficacious remedy available, to approach the Executing Court, on the same principle.

Faced with this situation, counsel does not press the present writ petition and prays for withdrawal of the same with liberty to avail alternative remedy, available in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

12.11.2018
pvd

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No