

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-305-2017

Date of decision: 04.12.2018

Rajni Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to get her documents scrutinized for the post of PGT (Punjabi) for which she had applied in response to advertisement No. 4/15 (Annexure P-7) and also to conduct interview of the petitioner for the post of PGT (Punjabi).

As per the short reply filed by the Commission dated 20.03.2017, the petitioner had secured 72 marks in the written examination and she was called for scrutiny of documents on 06.12.2006 as per the notice (Annexure P-8). The eligible candidates were thereafter called for interview vide notice (Annexure P-13) and the final result has been declared on 23.02.2017. The petitioner belongs to SC category and the last selected candidate in the SC category has secured 103 marks. Even if 24 marks of interview are given to the petitioner and are added to 72 marks, the total marks are 96 which are lower than the marks obtained by the last selected candidate.

Since the petitioner did not participate in the interview, no direction can be given to consider her as the final result has already been declared.

Dismissed.

04.12.2018

Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No