

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(205)

CWP-979-2015

Date of Decision: November 21, 2018

Avinash Chander

..Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam & ors

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Aayush Arora, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The present writ petition was filed for counting work charge service as a qualifying service for the grant of pensionary benefits.

Notice of motion was issued.

In the reply filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3, in paragraph 3, the respondents has stated that the petitioner can be given the benefit of maximum 33 years of service, which benefit has already been given and therefore the claim which is being made by the petitioner in the present writ petition is not at all maintainable.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to controvert the statement made on behalf of the respondents. Hence, the claim being made by the petitioner is not sustainable.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of having not pressed.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

November 21, 2018
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No