

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28367 of 2018
Date of Decision: 02.11.2018

Shri Bhagwan

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Managing Director and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Sandeep Thakan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking directions to the respondents for granting the promotion to the petitioner on the post of Lower Divisional Clerk w.d.f. 13.09.2018 as his junior has been promoted and to release all the consequential benefits to him which was given to his juniors.

The petitioner was appointed as Class IV Employee (Mali) of the respondents department and as per seniority list, he was placed at Seniority No.18 in the ranking list. The grievance of the petitioner is that his junior has been promoted prior to the petitioner whose seniority was 19 in the seniority list. A detailed representations (Annexure P-6) has been given to the respondent/Department to promote the petitioner at par with his junior has been promoted but no action has been taken in this regard. Learned counsel further seeking benefit as per instruction dated 02.07.2018 (Annexure P-2) that all class-IV employees (field) who have been acquired higher education i.e. graduation alongwith copy of certificate (original) and having completed five years regular service.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present

respondents/authorities to look into the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-6) and decide the same within a period of 6 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

02.11.2018
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>