

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

211

**CWP No.9742 of 2015.
Date of Decision: 02.08.2018.**

Kinna Ram

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Chiranshu Bansal, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Learned State counsel representing respondents No.1 to 4, on the basis of written reply, contended that enquiry against the petitioner was conducted by Sub Division Officer (Civil), Loharu, who has already submitted report to respondent No.2 and on the basis of said report, enquiry has been filed against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State further contended that no recovery has been effected from the present petitioner, who has since retired, rather payment has been released to him.

Learned counsel representing respondent No.5 also affirmed the fact that the petitioner has retired from service and no recovery was effected from him.

Today, there is no representation from the petitioner's side. On the last date of hearing i.e. 18.04.2018 also, there was no representation on his behalf.

In view of the above, the present writ petition stands dismissed. However, if the petitioner has to controvert the same, he shall be at liberty to move an application to that effect.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

02.08.2018
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No