

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 30033 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: JANUARY 08, 2018

VISHVANATH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS...

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP. LTD.
AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant 23 years promotional increment benefit as per finance circular No.17/90 dated 23.04.1990 and finance circular No.392 dated 28.07.2000 with further prayer that the pension/pay be re-fixed after grant of 23 years promotional increment.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though legal notice dated 15.07.2017 (Annexure P-7) was duly served upon the respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide the aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the

respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 15.07.2017 (Annexure P-7) and pass a speaking order in view of aforesaid circulars dated April 23, 1990 and July 28, 2000 as well as in the light of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.27463 of 2017 (Annexure P-12), within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. The claim with regard to interest on delayed payment shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “*R.S. Randhawa v. State of Punjab*”, 1997 (3) RSJ 318 as well as Punjab Govt. Instructions No.1/15/90IFPIII/4226, dated 10.05.1990.

5. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JANUARY 08, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*