

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 30023 of 2017
Date of decision: 16.4.2018**

Sunil Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Vidut Parsaran Nigam Limited and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar Rohilla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw this petition, so as to enable the petitioner to represent to the respondents, as regards his grievance, in the first instance.

Dismissed as withdrawn; with the liberty prayed for.

This Court is sanguine that in the event any such representation is made, as indicated above, the same shall be duly examined and dealt with, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of three months from the receipt of the said representation.

16.4.2018
AK Sharma

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES
Whether Reportable: NO