

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 30021 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 23.01.2018**

Malkit Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present : Mr. Kulwinder Singh Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, has approached this Court impugning the order dated 06.12.2016 (Annexure P-1), passed by the Revisional Authority dismissing the revision petition and upholding the forfeiture of the part of total amount deposited by the petitioner with the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the Revisional Authority, vide the impugned order, had affirmed the order dated 06.12.2013, whereby the Estate Officer had refunded an amount of ₹9,99,240/- out of ₹18,37,500/- and forfeited balance amount of ₹8,38,260/-. It was, however, submitted that inadvertently the petitioner did not make challenge to the order passed by the Estate Officer. Accordingly a prayer was made that he may be allowed to withdraw this writ petition, with liberty to

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 30021 OF 2017

-2-

the petitioner to file fresh one on the same cause of action, by incorporating proper prayers and appending all the relevant documents.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as prayed for.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

JANUARY 23, 2018
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned :	YES/NO
Whether reportable :	YES/NO