

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.30008 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 08, 2018

HANUMAN PARSAD

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to count qualifying service w.e.f. 25.03.1996 instead of 27.09.1996 and to grant 3rd ACP. With further prayer to refix the pension and also to ensure that pension fixed is not less than 50% of the minimum last pay drawn and to pay the arrears of pension alongwith interest accordingly.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide representation dated 03.11.2016 (Annexure P-6), within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent(s) to look into the

grievances unfolded by the petitioner in representation dated 03.11.2016 (Annexure P-6) and to take a conscious decision, in accordance with law, within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court as well as to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under law.

FEBRUARY 08, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>