

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(112)

CWP-28274-2018

Date of Decision: December 03, 2018

Satpal Rohilla and others

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Chander Pal Tiwana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present case, the petitioners are seeking the grant of benefit of General ACP Scheme as modified by the Government vide order dated 04.03.2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have served the respondents with a legal notice Annexure P-5 dated 31.03.2018. He further states that the petitioners will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide their claim raised in the legal notice Annexure P-5 dated 31.03.2018.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the legal notice Annexure P-5 dated 31.03.2018, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice Annexure P-5 dated 31.03.2018 within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled to any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to the petitioners within three months thereafter.

December 03, 2018
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No