

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**Civil Writ Petition No.29979 of 2017
Date of decision: January 08, 2018**

Mohan Lal @ Mohan Ram and another

....Petitioners

versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mr. R.N. Maurya, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The challenge in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is to the orders dated 03.06.2016 and 25.04.2017 (**Annexures P-14 & P-17**) respectively, passed by respondent No.3 as Appellate Authority vide which the bonafide claim of the petitioners for allotment of a tenement under '*The Chandigarh Small Flat Scheme, 2006*' for rehabilitation of slum dwellers, have been declined.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that since certain facts are required to be established by leading evidence, therefore, he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to approach the Civil Court or any other authority/forum in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 08, 2018
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No