

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28240-2018

Date of decision: 2.11.2018

Charta Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Rakesh Bakshi, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to take action against respondent No.6 Sukhwinder Kaur, Sarpanch Gram Panchayat Kaserla Khurd for damaging the public road.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Ambala to consider and decide the representation dated 5.9.2018 (Annexure P-5) expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.Kapil Bansal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to him in the Court.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Deputy Commissioner, Ambala to consider and decide the representation dated 5.9.2018 (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

2.11.2018
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No