

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 29960 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: JANUARY 08, 2018

SURENDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD.RESPONDENTS
AND OTHERS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature mandamus, directing the respondents to release the pensionary benefits. And further directing the respondents to grant 1st, 2nd, 3rd ACP to the petitioner along with interest.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide representation dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-4), within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, OP, UHBVNL, Rohtak to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-4) and to decide the same, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified

copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JANUARY 08, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>