104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29778-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision-01.02.2018

Ram Lubhaya **...Petitioner**

Vs.

Punjab State Power Corp.Ltd. And others **...Respondents**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Manish Dadwal, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

***

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

CM-1442-CWP-2018

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexure P-7 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-29778-2017

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents to order the probe into the incident dated 07.09.2017 in which the petitioners has suffered 45 % burn injuries and compensate the petitioner.

Contends that the petitioner suffered injury/disability to the extent of 45 % while on duty. The case of the petitioner was referred by to Assistant Executive Engineer (Operation), Punjab State Power Corp.Ltd., Hoshiarpur. In response to the legal notice served by the petitioner, respondent No.2 issued communication dated 02.01.2018 (Annexure P-7)

Vanita
2018.02.15 14:28
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

whereby it has been mentioned that case of the petitioner for the reimbursement of the medical bills and other benefits have already been forwarded to respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Assistant Executive Engineer (Operation), Punjab State Power Corp.Ltd., Sub Division Bassi Kalan, District Hoshiarpur to release the payment of the petitioner in terms of Annexure P-7.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Assistant Executive Engineer (Operation), Punjab State Power Corp.Ltd., Sub Division Bassi Kalan, District Hoshiarpur to take final decision in the matter within four weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

01.02.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No
Whether Reportable : Yes No

Vanita
2018.02.15 14:28
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document