

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9451-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-15.01.2018.

Gurmukh Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for respondent No.1-UOI.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Senior. DAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioners have prayed for the following relief:-

“issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari, Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring impugned action of respondents in keeping the petitioners on contract and in not regularizing their services against the posts held by them, especially when the Gurudwara Election Commission is a permanent body, which is necessitated to meet the objects of the Sikh Gurudwara Act, 1925, as totally wrong, illegal, arbitrary, malafide and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India; and/or to issue appropriate directions to the respondents to dispense with the system of ad hocism

and to regularize the services of the petitioners with all consequential benefits;”

Petitioners' grievance are with the 3rd respondent. During pendency of this petition, on 30.09.2015 office of the 3rd respondent was closed down. Consequently, first respondent was directed to consider the grievance of the petitioners having regard to the prayer made in the present petition. Pursuant to the direction, first respondent has passed a speaking communication dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure R-1/3). In view of the later development, petition do not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the communication dated 26.11.2015 (Annexure R-1/3).

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

January 15, 2018.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.