

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27969-2018

Date of decision: 31.10.2018

Ramesh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.RS Mamli, Advocate for the petitioners

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to take action against respondent No.7 - Anil Kumar Sarpanch as he has embezzled the funds of Gram Panchayat by selling out the trees and not getting the work done.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Yamuna Nagar to consider and decide the representation dated 26.9.2018 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.SK Saini, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to him in the Court.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Deputy Commissioner, Yamuna Nagar to consider and decide the representation dated 26.9.2018 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

31.10.2018
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No