

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2018.01.23 13:56
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

CWP No.29685 of 2017

Date of Decision: 19.01.2018

Suraj Mal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition for issuance of a direction to the respondents to make entry in the revenue record as per letter No.2784 dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) sent by the Land Acquisition Collector, Bagpat to Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat.

On perusal of documents available on the file, it appears to be a communication between two authorities but without approaching the authorities concerned, the petitioner has filed the present petition, whereas, he should have approached the concerned authority i.e Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat but no such representation has been made and in absence of any representation, the writ of *mandamus* cannot be issued.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to make a detailed representation to the Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat within a period of two weeks from today and in case, such a representation is made then respondent No.4 i.e Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat is directed to take action on the same in accordance with law after taking into consideration Annexure P-4.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

19.01.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No