

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8475 of 2016
Date of Decision : 19.04.2018

Amit Jain and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL*
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagmohan S. Ghuman, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.(Oral)

1. The prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 24.06.2014 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Estate Officer, GAMADA, order dated 05.02.2015 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Chief Administrator, GAMADA and order dated 16.02.2016 (Annexure P-10) passed by the Special Secretary to Govt. of Punjab, Department of Housing and Urban Development. Further prayer has also been made to direct the respondents to impose a forfeiture of 5% of total amount of consideration instead of 10% as has been done in the case of Purshotam Lal and another vide order dated 05.08.2013 (Annexure P-5) passed in **CWP No. 16226 of 2013.**

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners

submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

April 19, 2018
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No