

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.29588 of 2017
Date of Decision:18.07.2018

Bimla Devi

. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.Mayank Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioner has made a prayer for seeking direction to the respondents to issue registration certificate of the vehicle along with temporary permit for the routes plied and the other necessary documents.

After notice, the respondents have filed their reply in which a preliminary objection has been raised that the writ petition is not maintainable because the petitioner vide letters dated 20.11.2017 and 8.12.2017 had represented to respondent No.3 for the issuance of registration certificate and regular route permit but respondent No.3 has already passed a speaking order on 12.12.2017 whereby the request of the petitioner has been declined and the petitioner has been directed to apply a fresh within 7 days under the Draft Scheme of 2017 with the department out of 450 routes given in the said Scheme.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, am of the considered opinion that there is force in the preliminary objection raised by

purpose of seeking a direction, as has been prayed in the writ petition, the petitioner was required to challenge the order dated 12.12.2017 by way of a writ of certiorari. Therefore, the writ petition, simply for the issuance of a direction, is not maintainable.

Dismissed.

18.07.2018

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*