

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8247 of 2016
Date of Decision : 23.01.2018.**

Raj Mandir

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Gaurav Gogna, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The averments set out in paragraph 4 of the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 reveals that the application dated 20.10.2016 moved by the petitioner for resuming duty had since been considered and taking a lenient view, her period of absence from duty i.e. 31.03.2012 to 20.10.2016 has been sanctioned as “leave without pay” pursuant to an order dated 7.12.2016 (Annexure R-3). Resultantly she has even submitted her joining report on 24.12.2016 (Annexure R-4).

Thus, learned State counsel submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of.

The position is not controverted by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

23.01.2018

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable:

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Yes/No
Yes/No