

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 214

FAO-10183-2014

Date of decision : 24.07.2018

Shanti Devi and others

..... Appellants

VERSUS

Gurmeet Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate, for  
Mr. Ravinder Arora, Advocate,  
for respondent No. 3-Insurance Company.

\*\*\*\*\*

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant appeal, the appellants/claimants seek enhancement in the compensation awarded by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Panchkula (for short, the Tribunal).

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the only modification he seeks in the awarded compensation is the application of the multiplier of 14 by the Tribunal. According to him since the deceased was admittedly 21 years of age, as per law settled by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in "Sarla Verma and others vs. Delhi Transport Corporation and another" 2009 ACJ 1298 (SC), the multiplier of 18 should have been applied by the Tribunal to the proven income of the deceased .

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3-Insurance Company fairly admits to the above submission made on behalf of the appellants.

In view of the above, the impugned award of the Tribunal is modified to the extent that the multiplier as applied by the Tribunal to the income of the deceased shall be 18 instead of 14. On the enhanced

compensation the appellants are also held entitled to interest @ 6% per annum from the date of filing of the claim petition till the realisation of the enhanced amount.

No other point was argued.

Only with the above modification in the impugned award, the present appeal is disposed of.

24.07.2018

shamsher

[ DEEPAK SIBAL ]  
JUDGE

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |