

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2759 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.02.2018

Charanjeet Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to grant the higher emoluments in the higher pay scale of the post of clerk for the period, the petitioner has worked on the said post and to grant all the consequential benefits.

Learned counsel states that the claim of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment(s) passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in case Selvaraj Vs. Lt. Governor of Island, Port Blair and others (Annexure P-12), Smt. P. Grover Vs. State of Haryana and others, 1983 (3) SLR 473 (Annexure P-13) and the judgment of this Court, passed in CWP No.19589-2015 (Annexure P-14). He further states that, at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the competent authority is directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner(s) in the light of above said judgments, within a specified time.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s)-State to consider the case of the petitioner(s) in the light of assertion made. If, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the case of the petitioner is at par with the petitioner(s) in the above said judgments, the competent authority is directed to pass the consequential order within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

07.02.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No