

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.29308 of 2017  
Date of decision: 18.01.2018

Anju Yadav and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

Petitioners were appointed as Teachers with the respondent-department prior to 01.01.2006, whereas the private respondents were appointed as JBT Teachers post 01.01.2006. Thus, the petitioners claim to have been senior to the private respondents. Pursuant to acceptance of the recommendations of 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission, the Government of Haryana revised the pay scales of its employees w.e.f. 01.01.2006. But in the process an anomaly occurred as the entry pay for the employees, who were recruited post 01.01.2006, was fixed at higher level in comparison to the petitioners or those who were appointed before 01.01.2006. Resultantly, the petitioners despite being senior were paid lesser pay than their juniors. The petitioners purport to have represented to the respondents to remove the anomaly and step up their pay at par with their juniors. But to no avail. Even though the respondents are alleged to have been served with a notice dated 15.08.2017 (Annexure P14), but that too has yielded no result.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim/notice dated 15.08.2017 (Annexure P14) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed. Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

18.01.2018  
Rajan

**( Arun Palli )**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

YES  
NO