

109

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29237-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision-31.07.2018

Kulwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab Public Service Commission

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

CM-6700-CWP-2018

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexure P-5 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-29237-2017

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the action of respondent in not entertaining the candidature of the petitioner for interview for the post of Lecturer in Electronic and Communication Engineering.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent to consider and decide the representation dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-3).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent to consider and decide the representation dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

31.07.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No