

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27366-2018

Date of decision-26.10.2018

Automobile Association Sirsa

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.K.Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 for further directing respondent Nos.3 and 4 to effect transfer/registration of sale deed of plot/shops in favour of allottee as respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner-cum-Chairman Auto Market, Sirsa, District Sirsa (Haryana) to consider and decide the representation dated 16.08.2017 (Annexure P-9).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner-cum-Chairman Auto Market, Sirsa, District Sirsa (Haryana) to consider and decide the representation dated 16.08.2017

(Annexure P-9) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to it, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to the petitioner within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

26.10.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No