

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 15.01.2018****CWP No.9925 of 2014**

Sudhir Kamboj & another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana, for the respondents.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This petition is disposed of in terms of the orders passed by the Director, Industrial Training, Haryana on 27.07.2016 produced by Mr. Narang in Court today. A copy of the order is taken on record as Mark 'A'. To make it easier for the Department to understand the order dated 27.07.2016, the operative part is reproduced below:

“In view of the facts narrated during the personal hearing and submission made by the Instructors and Group Instructors and in view of F.D. Instructions No.1/1/2011-PR (FD) dated 12.12.2011, F.D. Instructions No.1/83/2008-1PR (FD) dated 04.03.2014 and F.D. Instructions No.1/23/2010-2PR(FD) dated 23.02.2016, I am of the considered view that the recovery of excess amount would not be made from the Instructors and Group Instructors and in cases where recovery of excess amount has already been made, whether it is to be refunded or not, advice in this regard would be sought from Finance Department, Haryana and action in this regard would be taken accordingly and their pay in reference to F.D. Instructions dated 12.12.2011 be re-fixed as per normal rules

of CSR Vol.-I, Part-I and they may be granted due ACT grade pay in ref. to F.D. Instructions dated 04.03.2014. I order accordingly.”

Ordered accordingly.

15.01.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>