

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.27229 of 2018
Date of Decision: 23.10.2018

Dr.Sonu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking directions to the respondents to give appointment to the petitioner as Lecturer/Assistant Professor of the Political Science subject against the vacant post in the any govt. College or in the Govt. College for women, Badhara (Respondent No.4) as per policy instructions (Annexure P-5) till the regular appoint is made.

Petitioner is working as Extension Lecturer (Political Science) in the Govt.College for Women, Sampla, Rohtak since the year 2016. On 27.09.2016, he was relieved on the basis of less work load. The petitioner's name was figured in the list of eligible extension lecturer at Sr.No.123 (Annexure P-5). A notice was issued (Annexure P-6) by respondent No.4 for appointment of Extension Lecturer for Political Science and petitioner appeared for interview but he has not been given appointment and the post is lying vacant. He has referred to the judgment passed by this Court in the case Anita and State of Haryana and connected matters passed in CWP No.20767 of 2017 (Annexure P-7) and Ravita Vs. State of Haryana and others in CWP No.23531 of 2018 (Annexure P-8) whereby, it has been held that normally only such persons should be engaged to teach who fulfill qualifications prescribed in the rules and the UGC, New Delhi. In case, Net qualified candidates are not available in response to the advertisements inviting applications for Extension Lecturers, to the extent of vacancies notified, then the petitioners, who do not possess NET, will have a right to continue as Extension Lecturers. In the present case, petitioner is PH.D in

Political Science and his name is in the list (Annexure P-5) of the eligible candidates of Extension Lecturers.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana, has appeared and accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of by giving directions to the respondent No.4 to consider the case of the petitioner as per list (Annexure P-5) and judgments (Annexures P-7 and P-8) after assessing the workload in the college. The exercise be done within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this Order.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

23.10.2018
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>