

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.28874 of 2017

Date of Decision: 22.01.2018

Narinder Kumar Sayal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by action of the respondents authorities in not taking action in spite of the fact that earlier on two occasions, the enquiry has been conducted and serious allegations were found to be proved against respondents No.6 and 7 but still no action has been taken.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made a representation dated 27.06.2017 (Annexure P-13) but still no action has been taken thereupon. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to consider the representation moved by him and to take action thereupon in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the representation dated 27.06.2017 (Annexure P-13) moved by the petitioner and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law after giving proper opportunity to the parties within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

22.01.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No