

125

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.27060 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 22, 2018

Pritipal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court claiming that acquisition of land owned by him has lapsed in view of Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short, 'the 2013 Act'). Notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 were issued on 31.07.2006 and 09.08.2007, respectively. Award was announced by the Land Acquisition Collector on 22.07.2008. It is not in dispute that the petitioner has received the amount of compensation. To establish his possession it is sought to be claimed that a *Samad* has been constructed in portion of the land, however, pictures placed on record establish the same to be work done recently merely with a view to show that the petitioner is still in possession of the land. The statue set up is new and so the barbed wire.

Once the petitioner does not satisfy the conditions laid down in

Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act, no case is made out for interference by this Court.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

October 22, 2018
meenuss

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |