

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.110

**Civil Writ Petition No.28742 of 2017
DECIDED ON: January 16, 2018**

CHARAN DASS AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil Polist, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 4 to decide legal notice dated September 08, 2017 (P-2) wherein petitioners have prayed to add their increments.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though a legal notice was made to the respondents on September 08, 2017 (P-2) but till date neither any reply to the said legal notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned Department. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the above said legal notice (P-2) within some specified period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notice dated

Civil Writ Petition No.28742 of 2017

September 08, 2017 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules and instructions issued by the Government of Haryana from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the order(s) passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

January 16, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**