

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 28689 of 2017

Date of Decision: 11.01.2018

Vishal Malik

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. B.S. Badhran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has made a representation dated 17.08.2017 to The Chief Administrator, HSAM Board, Panchkula, a copy of which is placed at Annexure P-17. The same has not been decided.

Accordingly, there is no impugned order in the petition.

2. In the first instance, the Chief Administrator or the competent authority in the Board to take a final call on the representation and decide it by passing a speaking order. In doing so, the Chief Administrator would be required to give an effective opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and thereafter record findings on each of the contentions raised by the petitioner in accordance with rules.

3. Looking to the fact that the representation filed in August 2017, about five months have already gone by, the competent authority would take up the representation and pass a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. If this petition is of any help to the petitioner to express his point of view and it makes it easier for the competent authority to decide, it may take this petition as a comprehensive representation to be decided in terms of the Service Rules, 2008.

5. With these observations and directions, petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

11.01.2018

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*