

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26954-2018

Date of decision-22.10.2018

Bhana Ram

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Virender Mandhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 for demarcation of firmi and take action against respondent Nos.4 and 6 to remove the illegal encroachment over firmi (rasta) of village land.

Learned counsel states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Karnal to consider and decide the representation dated 20.09.2018 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Moudgill, Addl.AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Karnal to consider and decide the representation dated 20.09.2018 (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

22.10.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No