

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8356-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision-19.09.2018

Satwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for applicant-proposed respondent No.6.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

CM-7912-CWP-2015

The instant application has been filed under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Section 151 CPC for impleading the applicant-Parminder Kaur as respondent No.6.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Parminder Kaur, w/o Sh.Arjinder Singh, MHS, Civil Hospital, District Bathinda is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.6.

Amended memo of parties annexed with the application is taken on record.

CM-7913-CWP-2015

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexures R-6/1 and R-6/2 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 18.03.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.2 whereby the petitioner was ignored and respondent No.5 has been transferred to PHC, Kalanaur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is 55% permanently disabled lady and she is unable to walk properly. Respondent No.2 by ignoring this fact has transferred the petitioner to a far away place from her house i.e. from Gurdaspur to Rampura Phool, District Bhatinda.

Learned State counsel on instructions states that at present the petitioner is working at PHC Behrampur, District Gurdaspur which is at distance of 09 kilometer and she goes by her own vehicle. However, there is no place nearby residence of the petitioner where the petitioner can be accommodated.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to make an endeavour to consider the case of the petitioner for transfer to a nearby area as and when some vacancy is generated and not to move the petitioner as far as possible from the present station and shall offer another

nearby station as and when it becomes available.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

19.09.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No