

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 28602 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: JANUARY 18, 2018

OM PATI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature certiorari quashing order dated 04.04.2006 to the extent that date of regularization of petitioner has been regularized w.e.f 04.04.2006 instead of 01.03.1999 i.e. the date juniors have regularized. And further directing the respondents to regularize the service of the petitioner from the date juniors have been regularised i.e. under instructions dated 31.12.1998/25.02.1999 (Annexure P-5 colly) w.e.f 01.03.1999 as well as to grant all consequential benefits i.e. pay fixation, seniority, arrears of salary from the said date and to allot GPF account number to him for subscription of GPF.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide legal notice dated 25.06.2017 (Annexure P-7), within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3-District Education Officer, Fatehabad to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice dated 25.06.2017 (Annexure P-7) and to decide the same, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JANUARY 18, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>