

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

Civil Writ Petition No.26819 of 2018
Date of Decision: October 17th, 2018

Ram Sarup

...Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commission, Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Lalit Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court assailing the order dated 15.05.2017 passed by the State Information Commission, Punjab, whereby the second appeal preferred by the petitioner was disposed of.

2. It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the information as is being sought by the petitioner was not supplied to the petitioner and, therefore, the appeal has wrongly been disposed of.

3. Having considered the order dated 15.05.2017 (Annexure P-6) passed by the State Information Commission, Punjab, this contention of learned counsel for the petitioner cannot be accepted. The order dated 15.05.2017 reads as follows:-

“Shri Lalit Singla, Advocate has come present on behalf of the Appellant before the Commission. Shri Gurbal Singh, Patwari has come present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

The Respondent/PIO has provided the information sought for today before the Commission.

The appellant has stated that he has received the information sought for as per the RTI application and he is satisfied with the information provided to him by the Respondent/PIO. The purpose of the RTI application has been fulfilled and his case may be closed.

So, the present appeal is disposed of.

*Copy of the order be sent to the parties accordingly.
Announced.”*

4. In view of the above order, the present petition has no merit and the same stands dismissed.

October 17th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No