

245
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26762-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.11.2018

Rajiv @ Makha

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Bhupinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present writ petition is the order dated 20.8.2018 (Annexure P-2), passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak, vide which parole of the petitioner was declined.

Heard.

It comes out that parole of the petitioner was declined on the ground that previously the prisoner was released on parole twice and at the same time, FIR No. 616 had been registered against him. Police reported that there is a threat to the Sarpanch of village Machhroli and his family from the prisoner and due to the act of the prisoner, police security has been provided to the Sarpanch of the village, Machhroli and his family members.

I am of the view that State has considered all the facts. Due to the act of the petitioner, police security has been provided to the Sarpanch of the village, Machhroli and his family members. In the circumstances, if the petitioner is released on parole, he may commit the crime again.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the order dated 7.3.2018 (Annexure P-4), passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak vide which 15 days parole has been granted to the petitioner after the said FIR by the government.

I am of the view that this Court is not aware as to on which ground the said furlough/parole was granted and how this was granted. However, after the judicial securtyny, this Court does not find any illegality or infirmity in the order dated 20.8.2018 (Annexure P-2), passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.11.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No