

**233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26709 of 2018 (O&M)
Decided on : 26.11.2018

Parvinder Jeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

AU Small Finance Bank Ltd. & ors.

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the notice dated nil (Annexure P-1) issued by respondent No.3 and the action of respondents vide which residential premises of the petitioner was sealed.

2. During the pendency of writ petition, certain amount has been deposited by the petitioner for discharging the outstanding liability.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner produced the communication dated 26.11.2018 addressed to the petitioner by the bank intimating him that as on date, his bank account has been regularised. The said communication is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. He further submitted that before the filing of the writ petition, the secured asset i.e. residential house of the petitioner was sealed by the respondent-bank.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Since the loan account of the petitioner has

been regularised, the respondent-bank shall de-seal the premises and deliver back the physical possession of the secured asset to the petitioner forthwith. However, it is clarified that in case the petitioner fails to discharge his future liability, the bank would be at liberty to proceed in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

26.11.2018
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No