

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no. 717 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 10.12.2018

Dayanand and others

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present : Mr.Sanjeev Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vikram Singh and
Mr.Sandeep Singh, Advocates for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

It has been stated in the reply that compensation has been taken by the petitioners in 2010 itself. Hence, the only remedy available with the petitioners is that their claim has to be considered under the Rehabilitation and Resettlement Policy to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

We are thus of the opinion that the instant petition needs to be dismissed but with a direction that the case of the petitioners be considered under Rehabilitation and Resettlement Policy as per their entitlement.

**(Mahesh Grover)
Judge**

10.12.2018
rekha

**(Lalit Batra)
Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No