

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26516-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 14.11.2018

Satish @ Shakti

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Written statement of Deputy Superintendent District Jail Jhajjar on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 filed in Court today is taken on record.

Heard.

It comes out that petitioner was declined furlough vide order dated 9.8.2018, passed by Commissioner, Rohtak Division (Annexure P-1). In the present petition, no prayer has been made for setting aside the aforesaid order.

It being so, present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh petition by challenging the said order.

Disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

14.11.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No