

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 7047 of 2016
Date of Decision 14.2.2018**

Anju

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP No. 7987 of 2016

Rakesh

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP No.10798 of 2016

Gagandeep and others

...Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP No. 7047 of 2016).

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP No. 7987 of 2016).

Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Sr. Advocate, with
Ms. Gurjot Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP No. 10798 of 2016).

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 10.11.2017, rendered by this Court in **CWP No. 17240 of 2016 (Anand Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others)** and other connected matters, and therefore, petitions at hands too be disposed of in the same terms.

Ordered accordingly.

The respondents shall carry out necessary exercise in terms of the decision of this Court, as indicated above, within three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

14.2.2018

AK Sharma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

(ARUN PALLI)

JUDGE

YES / NO

YES / NO