

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**ESA No.38 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 26, 2018**

Shubhangi Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep Kumar and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate for
Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sudarshan Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.1.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has filed the certified copy of the order dated 01.08.2017 passed by the learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Pehowa, the execution before the trial Court has been fully satisfied and the present appeal filed against the interim orders passed in the said execution. has become infructuous.

In view of the aforesaid, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Since, the main appeal has been disposed of, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 26, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No