

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.26423 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.10.2018**

Manju Siwach

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. J.S. Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to grant him the benefit of 02 marks on account of one disputed question i.e. question No.56 of Series-D and the equivalent question of different sets in the written test.

The petitioner is participating in the selection process for the post of PGT (Mathematics) in General category, pursuant to advertisement No.4/2015. She also participated for the scrutiny of documents. As per Annexure P-6, candidates were called for the interview. Last candidate in the General category has got 84 marks. As per revised answer key (Annexure P-4), petitioner has got 82 marks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in response to the first answer key, objection(s) were raised with regard to question No.56 of Series-D. In the revised answer key, it was clarified that with respect to question No.56, there were two correct answers. But, as per instruction

No.14, if a candidate had encircled two answers, no mark will be given to him/her.

However, a perusal of question paper of the petitioner (Annexure P-2) shows that she has not encircled even one answer for question No.56. Therefore, she cannot get the benefit of revised answer key, in which, it is reflected that there were two correct answers of the said question.

No case for giving any direction, as sought for, is made out.

No merits.

Dismissed.

12.10.2018
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No