

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26385 of 2018

Date of Decision: 12.10.2018

Anil Kumar Gupta and another

... Petitioners

Versus

The District and Sessions Judge, Jind

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Satya Vir Singh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are husband and wife. They have retired from service of the Sessions Division, Jind. They have approached this Court claiming benefits of Shetty Commission Report w.e.f. 01.04.2003 in terms of the judgment of this Court in CWP No.14750 of 2015, *Om Parkash and others v. State of Haryana and others* decided on January 25, 2018. The dispute regarding interpretation of advance increment and additional increment has been resolved by the Single Judge and the increment has to be treated as additional increment. This is also the advise of the Registrar General of Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh to all the District & Sessions Judges to the State of Haryana regarding implementation of judgment in *Om Parkash* case (Annexure P-7).

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the claim of the petitioners in the light of the judgment and pass a speaking order. In the event of benefits being found admissible in terms of the judgment the same be calculated and

disbursed to the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With these observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

12.10.2018
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No