

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26297-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.11.2018**

Shaheed Udham Singh Education & Welfare Society & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. TPS Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners/Societies filed the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India assailing a communication dated 16.08.2018 (Annexure P-14) issued by respondent/Punjab Education Development Board, Punjab and whereby head wise expenses under the Public Private Partnership Mode for running of Adarsh Schools had been issued.

On a previous date of hearing, copy of the petition had been handed over to learned State counsel so as to enable him to assist the Court.

During the course of hearing today, Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate has appeared for contesting respondents No.2 to 4/Board and has even filed an affidavit dated 12.11.2018 of Sh. Manoj Kumar, Deputy State Project Director, Punjab Education Development Board. The affidavit is

taken on record and a copy has been supplied to learned counsel representing the petitioners.

As per contents of the affidavit, certain market survey reports and suggestions have been received from the private partners with regard to the impugned communication/instructions dated 16.08.2018 (Annexure P-14) for fixing head wise expenses of the Adarsh Schools. It has been deposed that the respondent/Board has taken a decision in principle to review the instructions dated 16.08.2018 (Annexure P-14) keeping in view the objections and suggestions received from the private parties.

Mr. Anupam Singla, learned counsel makes a categorical submission that the exercise of review and passing of a final decision in the matter would be completed within a period of 10 weeks from today and after associating the concerned private partners including the petitioners herein. He further states that till passing of an order afresh, the impugned communication/instructions dated 16.08.2018 (Annexure P-14) would not be put into operation.

In the light of such categorical stand taken on behalf of the contesting respondents, the instant petition is disposed of as not pressed and the same having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of.

12.11.2018

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |