

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26247-2018

Date of decision : 11.10.2018

Satya Devi and another

..... Petitioners

VS

United Bank of India and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present:- Mr.Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing notice dated 06.09.2018 (Annexure P-6) and notice of intended to sale dated 17.09.2018 (Annexure P-7).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to approach the bank or to take recourse to the remedies before the Civil Court or any other alternative remedy as available to them, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies, as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

11.10.2018
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No